IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.198 OF 2007

Rasila D/o.Ranchhoddas
Naram(Thakkar) ...Appellant

vs.

Deepak Dhansukhlal Vanjara. ...Respondent.

---

Mr.S.K.Jain, for Appellant.

Mrs.S.A.Dhamale, for Respondent.

**CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH &**

**V.M.KANADE, JJ.**

**DATED: 20th NOVEMBER,2007.**

**P.C**.:-

1. The learned Counsel appearing for appellant states that the appellant is restricting her challenge in the appeal only to that part of the order of the family Court

whereby Rs.1500/- per month is directed to be paid as maintenance to the appellant. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for both the sides.

Admit.

(D.K.DESHMUKH, J.)

(V.M.KANADE,J.)

---

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.227 OF 2007

IN

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.198 OF 2007

Rasila D/o.Ranchhoddas
Naram(Thakkar) ...Appellant

vs.

Deepak Dhansukhlal Vanjara. ...Respondent.

---

Mr.S.K.Jain, for Appellant.

Mrs.S.A.Dhamale, for Respondent.

**CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH &**

**V.M.KANADE, JJ.**

**DATED: 20th NOVEMBER,2007.**

**P.C**.:-

Admittedly, during the pendency of the proceedings before the Family Court, the appellant was getting Rs.1000/- per month. Now by the final order, her maintenance is enhanced to Rs.1500/- per month, therefore, we do not see any reason to enhance the amount of maintenance. Civil application is disposed of.

(D.K.DESHMUKH, J.)

(V.M.KANADE,J.)

---